

BILINEAR MULTIPLIERS OF FUNCTION SPACES WITH WAVELET TRANSFORM IN $L^p_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$

ÖZNUR KULAK

ABSTRACT. Let ω_1, ω_2 be weight functions on \mathbb{R}^n . For $1 \leq p, q < \infty$, fixed $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, the space $(D_{\omega_1, \omega_2}^{p, q})_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consists of $f \in L^p_{\omega_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that wavelet transform $W_g f(\cdot, s)$ belongs to $L^q_{\omega_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where $0 \neq g \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This space was defined and investigated by Kulak and Gürkanlı [11]. In this paper using this function space, the vector space of bilinear multipliers is defined in this way. Let $\omega_1, \omega_2, \nu_1, \nu_2$ be slowly increasing weight functions and let ω_3, ν_3 be any weight functions on \mathbb{R}^n . Assume that $m(\xi, \eta)$ is a bounded, measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$. We define

$$B_m(f, g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{g}(\eta) m(\xi, \eta) e^{2\pi i(\xi + \eta, x)} d\xi d\eta$$

for all $f, g \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We say that $m(\xi, \eta)$ is a bilinear multiplier on \mathbb{R}^n of type $(D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i))$ if B_m is bounded operator from $(D_{\omega_1, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times (D_{\omega_2, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $(D_{\omega_3, \nu_3}^{p_3, q_3})_{s_3}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where $1 \leq p_i, q_i < \infty, s_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). We denote by $BM[D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i)]$ the vector space of bilinear multipliers of type $(D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i))$. In this work, some properties of this space are investigated and some examples of these bilinear multipliers are given.

2010 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION. 42A45, 42B15, 42B35, 43A32.

KEYWORDS AND PHRASES. bilinear multipliers, function spaces, wavelet transform.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper we will work on \mathbb{R}^n with Lebesgue measure dx . We denote by $C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of infinitely differentiable complex-valued functions with compact support on \mathbb{R}^n and the space of infinitely differentiable complex-valued functions on \mathbb{R}^n rapidly decreasing at infinity, respectively. Let f be a complex valued measurable function on \mathbb{R}^n . The translation, character and dilation operators T_x, M_x and D_s are defined by $T_x f(y) = f(y - x)$, $M_x f(y) = e^{2\pi i(x, y)} f(y)$ and $D_t^p f(y) = t^{-\frac{n}{p}} f(\frac{y}{t})$ respectively for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, 0 < p, t < \infty$. With this notation out of the way one has, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$,

$$(T_x f)^\wedge(\xi) = M_{-x} \hat{f}(\xi), (M_x f)^\wedge(\xi) = T_x \hat{f}(\xi), (D_t^p f)^\wedge(\xi) = D_{t^{-1}}^{p'} \hat{f}(\xi).$$

For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the usual Lebesgue space. A continuous function ω satisfying $1 \leq \omega(x)$ and $\omega(x + y) \leq \omega(x)\omega(y)$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ will be called a weight function on \mathbb{R}^n . If $\omega_1(x) \leq \omega_2(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we say

that $\omega_1 \leq \omega_2$. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we set

$$L_\omega^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f : f\omega \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)\}.$$

It is known that $L_\omega^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Banach space under the norm

$$\|f\|_{p,\omega} = \|f\omega\|_p = \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)\omega(x)|^p dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

or

$$\|f\|_{\infty,\omega} = \|f\omega\|_\infty = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)\omega(x)|, \quad p = \infty.$$

We say that a weight function v_s polynomial type, if $v_s(x) = (1 + |x|)^s$ for $s \geq 0$. Let f be a measurable function on \mathbb{R}^n . If there exists $C > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|f(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|^2)^N$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then f is said to be slowly increasing function [6]. It is easy to see that polynomial type weight functions are slowly increasing. For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the Fourier transform of f is denoted by \hat{f} . We know that \hat{f} is a continuous function on \mathbb{R}^n . We denote by $M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of bounded regular Borel measures, $M(\omega)$ the space of μ in $M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|\mu\|_\omega = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega d|\mu| < \infty.$$

If $\mu \in M(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of μ is denoted by $\hat{\mu}$ [18]. Given any fixed $0 \neq g \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (called the wavelet function), the Wavelet transform of a function $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to g is defined by

$$W_g f(x, s) = |s|^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(t) \overline{g\left(\frac{t-x}{s}\right)} dt$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $0 \neq s \in \mathbb{R}$, [5, 19].

Let $0 \neq g \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and ω_1, ω_2 be weight functions on \mathbb{R}^n . For $1 \leq p, q < \infty$ and fixed $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we set

$$(D_{\omega_1, \omega_2}^{p,q})_s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f \in L_{\omega_1}^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid W_g f(\cdot, s) \in L_{\omega_2}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)\}.$$

It is normed space with the norm $\|f\|_{D_{\omega_1, \omega_2}^{p,q}} = \|f\|_{p, \omega_1} + \|W_g f\|_{q, \omega_2}$. This space is defined and investigated in [11].

Theory of the Fourier bilinear multipliers was started by Coifman and Meyer [4, 17] for smooth symbols. They considered bilinear multipliers under some conditions. Lacey and Thiele considered $m(x) = -i \operatorname{sgn}(x)$ which leads to the Hilbert transform [14, 15, 16]. They proved that m is (p_1, p_2) -multiplier for each triple (p_1, p_2, p_3) such that $1 < p_1, p_2, p_3 \leq \infty$ and $p_3 > \frac{2}{3}$. Then this conclusion was extended by Gilbert and Nahmod [7, 8]. Kening-Stein and Grafakos-Kalton considered $m(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^{1-\alpha}}$ ($0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$) which leads to the bilinear fractional integral transform, [9, 10]. They proved that m is bilinear multiplier under some conditions. Also Blasco investigated bilinear multipliers and transference method of multipliers for Lebesgue spaces and Lorentz spaces [1, 2, 3]. Then Kulak

and Gürkanlı extended these results to weighted Lebesgue spaces, variable exponent Lebesgue spaces, weighted Wiener Amalgam spaces and variable exponent Wiener amalgam spaces [12, 13]. This paper deal with the theory of the bilinear multipliers on the $(D_{\omega_1, \omega_2}^{p, q})_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which was studied by Kulak and Gürkanlı [11]. In this present paper bilinear multipliers of type $(D(p_1, q_1, \omega_1, \nu_1, s_1; p_2, q_2, \omega_2, \nu_2, s_2; p_3, q_3, \omega_3, \nu_3, s_3))$ are defined by using this specific function space with wavelet transform.

2. THE BILINEAR MULTIPLIERS SPACE

$BM[D(p_1, q_1, \omega_1, \nu_1, s_1; p_2, q_2, \omega_2, \nu_2, s_2; p_3, q_3, \omega_3, \nu_3, s_3)]$

Lemma 2.1. *Let ω_1, ω_2 be a slowly increasing weight functions. Then $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in the space $(D_{\omega_1, \omega_2}^{p, q})_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. We know that $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset (D_{\omega_1, \omega_2}^{p, q})_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for polynomial weight functions [11]. Similarly the inclusion $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset (D_{\omega_1, \omega_2}^{p, q})_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is showed for slowly increasing weight functions. Take any $f \in (D_{\omega_1, \omega_2}^{p, q})_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $L_{\omega_1}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ [12], for given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a sequence $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_1$

$$(2.1) \quad \|f - u_n\|_{p, \omega_1} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Since $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $L_{\omega_2}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ [12], for given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a sequence $(h_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_2$

$$(2.2) \quad \|W_g f - h_n\|_{q, \omega_2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

So $(h_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ convergences to $W_g f$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there exists a subsequence $(h_{n_k})_{n_k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (h_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $(h_{n_k})_{n_k \in \mathbb{N}}$ pointwise convergences to $W_g f$ almost everywhere (a.e). Also, since $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ convergences to f in $L_{\omega_1}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then there exists subsequence $(u_{n_k})_{n_k \in \mathbb{N}}$ convergences to f in $L_{\omega_1}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. So $(u_{n_k})_{n_k \in \mathbb{N}}$ convergences to f in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Consequently using following inequality, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\begin{aligned} |W_g u_{n_k}(x) - h_{n_k}(x)| &= |W_g u_{n_k}(x) - h_{n_k}(x)| \\ &\leq p^{\left(\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{2}\right)} \|u_{n_k} - f\|_p \|g\|_p + |W_g f(x) - h_{n_k}(x)| \quad [11], \end{aligned}$$

we obtain $W_g u_{n_k} = h_{n_k}$ (a.e). If we set $n_0 = \max\{n_1, n_2\}$. Combining the inequalities (2.1) and (2.2), for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n > n_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - u_n\|_{(D_{\omega_1, \omega_2}^{p, q})_s} &= \|f - u_n\|_{p, \omega_1} + \|W_g f - W_g u_n\|_{q, \omega_2} \\ &= \|f - u_n\|_{p, \omega_1} + \|W_g f - h_n\|_{q, \omega_2} < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Let $1 \leq p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2, p_3, q_3 < \infty$, $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3$ be weight functions on \mathbb{R}^n . Assume that $\omega_1, \omega_2, \nu_1, \nu_2$ are slowly increasing functions and $m(\xi, \eta)$ is a bounded, measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Define

$$B_m(f, g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{g}(\eta) m(\xi, \eta) e^{2\pi i \langle \xi + \eta, x \rangle} d\xi d\eta$$

for all $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

m is said to be a bilinear multiplier on \mathbb{R}^n of type

$D(p_1, q_1, \omega_1, \nu_1, s_1; p_2, q_2, \omega_2, \nu_2, s_2; p_3, q_3, \omega_3, \nu_3, s_3)$ (shortly $(D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i))$), if there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|B_m(f, g)\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_3}^{p_3, q_3})_{s_3}} \leq C \|f\|_{(D_{\omega_1, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \|g\|_{(D_{\omega_2, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}}$$

for all $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. That means B_m extends to a bounded bilinear operator from $(D_{\omega_1, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times (D_{\omega_2, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $(D_{\omega_3, \nu_3}^{p_3, q_3})_{s_3}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We denote by $BM[D(p_1, q_1, \omega_1, \nu_1, s_1; p_2, q_2, \omega_2, \nu_2, s_2; p_3, q_3, \omega_3, \nu_3, s_3)]$ (shortly $BM[D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i)]$) the space of all bilinear multipliers of type $(D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i))$ and $\|m\|_{(D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i))} = \|B_m\|$.

Lemma 2.2. Hölder Inequality for The Space $(D_{\omega, \nu}^{p, q})_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$

If $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1}{p}$ then there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|fh\|_{(D_{\omega, \nu}^{p, p})_{s_3}} \leq C \|f\|_{(D_{\omega, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \|h\|_{(D_{\omega, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}}$$

where $f \in (D_{\omega, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $h \in (D_{\omega, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Let $f \in (D_{\omega, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $h \in (D_{\omega, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It's known that the equality

$$W_g(fh) = (fh) * D_{s_3} g^*.$$

From the this equality and Hölder inequality for Lebesgue spaces, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|fh\|_{(D_{\omega, \nu}^{p, p})_{s_3}} &= \|fh\|_{p, \omega} + \|W_g(fh)\|_{p, \omega} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{p_1, \omega} \|h\|_{p_2, \omega} + \|(fh) * D_{s_3} g^*\|_{p, \omega}. \end{aligned}$$

On the otherhand since $L_\omega^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is Banach module over $L_\omega^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, using last inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|fh\|_{(D_{\omega, \nu}^{p, p})_{s_3}} &\leq \|f\|_{p_1, \omega} \|h\|_{p_2, \omega} + \|fh\|_{p, \omega} \|D_{s_3} g^*\|_{1, \omega} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{p_1, \omega} \|h\|_{p_2, \omega} + \|f\|_{p_1, \omega} \|h\|_{p_2, \omega} \|D_{s_3} g^*\|_{1, \omega} \\ &= \left\{ 1 + \|D_{s_3} g^*\|_{1, \omega} \right\} \|f\|_{p_1, \omega} \|h\|_{p_2, \omega} \\ &\leq \left\{ 1 + \|D_{s_3} g^*\|_{1, \omega} \right\} \left\{ \|f\|_{p_1, \omega} + \|W_g f\|_{p_1, \omega_1} \right\} \left\{ \|h\|_{p_2, \omega} + \|W_g h\|_{p_2, \omega_2} \right\} \\ &= C \|f\|_{(D_{\omega, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \|h\|_{(D_{\omega, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}} \end{aligned}$$

where $C = \left\{ 1 + \|D_{s_3} g^*\|_{1, \omega} \right\}$. \square

The following Theorem is an example to bilinear mutiplier on \mathbb{R}^n of type $(D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i))$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\frac{1}{q_3} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$, ω_3 be slowly increasing weight function. If*

$K \in L_{\omega}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\omega(y) = \omega_1(y)\omega_2(-y)$, $\omega_1 = \max\{\omega_3, \nu_1\}$ and $\omega_2 = \max\{\omega_3, \nu_2\}$ then $m(\xi, \eta) = \hat{K}(\xi - \eta) \in BM(D(p_1, q_1, \omega_3, \nu_1, s_1; p_2, q_2, \omega_3, \nu_2, s_2; q_3, q_3, \omega_3, \omega_3, s_3))$. Furthermore there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|m\|_{(D(p_1, q_1, \omega_3, \nu_1, s_1; p_2, q_2, \omega_3, \nu_2, s_2; q_3, q_3, \omega_3, \omega_3, s_3))} \leq C \|K\|_{1, \omega}.$$

Proof. Take any $f, h \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We write the equality

$$(2.3) \quad B_m(f, h)(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(t-y)h(t+y)K(y)dy \quad [12].$$

Also we know that $f(t-y) \in (D_{\omega_1, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $h(t+y) \in (D_{\omega_2, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ [11]. So using (2.3) and Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_m(f, h)\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_3}^{q_3, q_3})_{s_3}} &= \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(t-y)h(t+y)K(y)dy \right\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_3}^{q_3, q_3})_{s_3}} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|f(t-y)h(t+y)K(y)\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_3}^{q_3, q_3})_{s_3}} |K(y)| dy \\ (2.4) \quad &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} C \|f(t-y)\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \|h(t+y)\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}} |K(y)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

On the otherhand we write

$$(2.5) \quad \|f(t-y)\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \leq \left\{ \omega_3(y) \|f\|_{p_1, \omega_3} + \nu_1(y) \|W_g f\|_{q_1, \nu_1} \right\}$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad \|h(t+y)\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}} \leq \left\{ \omega_3(-y) \|h\|_{p_2, \omega_3} + \nu_2(-y) \|W_g f\|_{q_2, \nu_2} \right\},$$

[11]. So using the inequalities (2.5) and (2.6), since $\omega_1 = \max\{\omega_3, \nu_1\}$ and $\omega_2 = \max\{\omega_3, \nu_2\}$ we have

$$(2.7) \quad \|f(t-y)\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \leq \omega_1(y) \|f\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}}$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad \|h(t+y)\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}} \leq \omega_2(-y) \|h\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}}.$$

Therefore using the inequalities (2.4), (2.7) and (2.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_m(f, h)\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_3}^{q_3, q_3})_{s_3}} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} C \|f(t-y)\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \|h(t+y)\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}} |K(y)| dy \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_1(y)\omega_2(-y) \|f\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \|h\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}} |K(y)| dy \\ (2.9) \quad &= C \|f\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \|h\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}} \|K\|_{1, \omega} \end{aligned}$$

Then $m(\xi, \eta) = \hat{K}(\xi - \eta)$ defines a bilinear multiplier. Finally, using the (2.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|m\|_{(D(p_1, q_1, \omega_3, \nu_1, s_1; p_2, q_2, \omega_3, \nu_2, s_2; q_3, q_3, \omega_3, \nu_3, s_3))} \\ &= \frac{\|B_m(f, h)\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_3}^{q_3, q_3})_{s_3}}}{\sup_{\|f\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \leq 1, \|h\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}} \leq 1} \|f\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \|h\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}}} \\ &\leq C \|K\|_{1, \omega}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let $1 \leq p_i, q_i < \infty$, $s_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and ω_i, ν_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) be weight functions on \mathbb{R}^n . Assume that $\omega_1, \omega_2, \nu_1, \nu_2$ are slowly increasing functions. We denote by $\tilde{M}[D(p_1, q_1, \omega_1, \nu_1, s_1; p_2, q_2, \omega_2, \nu_2, s_2; p_3, q_3, \omega_3, \nu_3, s_3)]$

(shortly $\tilde{M}[D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i)]$) the space of measurable functions $M : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $m(\xi, \eta) = M(\xi - \eta) \in BM[D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i)]$, that is to say

$$B_M(f, g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{g}(\eta) M(\xi - \eta) e^{2\pi i \langle \xi + \eta, x \rangle} d\xi d\eta$$

extends to bounded bilinear map from $(D_{\omega_1, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times (D_{\omega_2, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $(D_{\omega_3, \nu_3}^{p_3, q_3})_{s_3}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We denote $\|M\|_{(D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i))} = \|B_M\|$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let ω be slowly increasing weight function. Assume that $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1}{p_3}$, $\omega_1 \leq \omega$, $\omega_2 \leq \omega$ and $v(x) = C(1 + |x|^2)^{2N_1}$, $C \geq 0$, $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ be a weight function. If $\mu \in M(v)$ and $m(\xi, \eta) = \hat{\mu}(\alpha\xi + \beta\eta)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, then $m \in BM[(D(p_1, q_1, \omega, \omega_1, s_1; p_2, q_2, \omega, \omega_2, s_2; p, p, \omega, \omega, s_3))]$. Moreover there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|m\|_{(D(p_1, q_1, \omega, \omega_1, s_1; p_2, q_2, \omega, \omega_2, s_2; p, p, \omega, \omega, s_3))} \leq C \|\mu\|_v.$$

Proof. Let $f, h \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be given. By Theorem 2.3 in [12], we have

$$(2.10) \quad B_m(f, h)(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(t - \alpha y) h(t - \beta y) d\mu(y).$$

Also by [11] we have the inequalities

$$(2.11) \quad \|T_{\alpha y} f\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \leq \omega(\alpha y) \|f\|_{p_1, \omega} + \omega_1(\alpha y) \|W_g f\|_{q_1, \omega_1}$$

and

$$(2.12) \quad \|T_{\beta y} h\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}} \leq \omega(\beta y) \|h\|_{p_2, \omega} + \omega_2(\beta y) \|W_g h\|_{q_2, \omega_2}.$$

Then by (2.10), (2.11), (2.12) and Hölder inequality,

$$\|B_m(f, g)\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega}^{p, p})_{s_3}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|f(t - \alpha y) h(t - \beta y)\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega}^{p, p})_{s_3}} d|\mu|(y)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} C \|f(t - \alpha y)\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \|h(t - \beta y)\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}} d|\mu|(y) \\
(2.13) \quad &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} C \left\{ \omega(\alpha y) \|f\|_{p_1, \omega} + \omega_1(\alpha y) \|W_g f\|_{q_1, \omega_1} \right\} \left\{ \omega(\beta y) \|h\|_{p_2, \omega} + \omega_2(\beta y) \|W_g h\|_{q_2, \omega_2} \right\} d|\mu|(y)
\end{aligned}$$

From the assumption $\omega_1 \leq \omega$, $\omega_2 \leq \omega$ and (2.13)

$$\begin{aligned}
\|B_m(f, h)\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega}^{p, p})_{s_3}} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} C \omega(\alpha y) \omega(\beta y) \left\{ \|f\|_{p_1, \omega} + \|W_g f\|_{q_1, \omega_1} \right\} \left\{ \|h\|_{p_2, \omega} + \|W_g h\|_{q_2, \omega_2} \right\} d|\mu|(y) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} C \omega(\alpha y) \omega(\beta y) \|f\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \|h\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}} d|\mu|(y) \\
(2.14) \quad &\leq C \|f\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \|h\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega(\alpha y) \omega(\beta y) d|\mu|(y)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, suppose that $|\alpha| \leq 1$, $|\beta| \leq 1$. Since ω is slowly increasing weight function, there exists $C_1 \geq 0$ and $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(2.15) \quad \omega(y) \leq C_1 \left(1 + |y|^2\right)^{N_1}$$

and then by using (2.15)

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega(\alpha y) \omega(\beta y) d|\mu|(y) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} C_1^2 \left(1 + |\alpha|^2 |y|^2\right)^{N_1} \left(1 + |\beta|^2 |y|^2\right)^{N_1} d|\mu|(y) \\
(2.16) \quad &\leq C_1^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(1 + |y|^2\right)^{2N_1} d|\mu|(y) = C_1^2 \|\mu\|_v
\end{aligned}$$

where $v(y) = C \left(1 + |y|^2\right)^{2N_1}$. Hence by (2.14) and (2.16)

$$(2.17) \quad \|B_m(f, h)\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega}^{p, p})_{s_3}} \leq CC_1^2 \|f\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \|h\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}} \|\mu\|_v$$

Thus since $m \in BM[(D(p_1, q_1, \omega, \omega_1, s_1; p_2, q_2, \omega, \omega_2, s_2; p, p, \omega, \omega, s_3))]$ and by (2.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|m\|_{(D(p_1, q_1, \omega, \omega_1, s_1; p_2, q_2, \omega, \omega_2, s_2; p, p, \omega, \omega, s_3))} \\
&= \sup_{\|f\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \leq 1, \|h\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}} \leq 1} \frac{\|B_m(f, h)\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega}^{p, p})_{s_3}}}{\|f\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \|h\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}}} \\
&\leq CC_1^2 \|\mu\|_v.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $|\alpha| > 1, |\beta| > 1$ then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega(\alpha y) \omega(\beta y) d|\mu|(y) < \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} C_1^2 \left(|\alpha|^2 + |\alpha|^2 |y|^2\right)^{N_1} \left(|\beta|^2 + |\beta|^2 |y|^2\right)^{N_1} d|\mu|(y)$$

$$(2.18) \quad = C_1^2 |\beta|^{2N_1} |\alpha|^{2N_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v(y) d|\mu|(y) = C_1^2 |\beta|^{2N_1} |\alpha|^{2N_1} \|\mu\|_v$$

where $v(y) = C(1 + |y|^2)^{2N_1}$. Therefore by (2.14) and (2.18), we have

$$(2.19) \quad \|B_m(f, h)\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega}^{p, p})_{s_3}} < CC_1^2 |\beta|^{2N_1} |\alpha|^{2N_1} \|f\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_2}} \|h\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}} \|\mu\|_v.$$

Hence, we obtain $m \in BM[(D(p_1, q_1, \omega, \omega_1, s_1; p_2, q_2, \omega, \omega_2, s_2; p, p, \omega, \omega, s_3))]$ and by (2.19)

$$\begin{aligned} & \|m\|_{(D(p_1, q_1, \omega, \omega_1, s_1; p_2, q_2, \omega, \omega_2, s_2; p, p, \omega, \omega, s_3))} \\ &= \frac{\|B_m(f, h)\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega}^{p, p})_{s_3}}}{\|f\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \leq 1, \|h\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}} \leq 1} \leq \frac{\|f\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \|h\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}}}{\|f\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \|h\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}}} \\ &< CC_1^2 |\beta|^{2N_1} |\alpha|^{2N_1} \|\mu\|_v. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $|\alpha| > 1$, $|\beta| \leq 1$. So

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega(\alpha y) \omega(\beta y) d|\mu|(y) < \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} C_1^2 (|\alpha|^2 + |\alpha|^2 |y|^2)^{N_1} (1 + |y|^2)^{N_1} d|\mu|(y) \\ &= C_1^2 |\alpha|^{2N_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v(y) d|\mu|(y) = C_1^2 |\alpha|^{2N_1} \|\mu\|_v. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by (2.14) and (2.20), we have

$$(2.21) \quad \|B_m(f, h)\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega}^{p, p})_{s_3}} < CC_1^2 |\alpha|^{2N_1} \|f\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \|h\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}} \|\mu\|_v.$$

Hence, we obtain $m \in BM[(D(p_1, q_1, \omega, \omega_1, s_1; p_2, q_2, \omega, \omega_2, s_2; p, p, \omega, \omega, s_3))]$ and by (2.21)

$$\begin{aligned} & \|m\|_{(D(p_1, q_1, \omega, \omega_1, s_1; p_2, q_2, \omega, \omega_2, s_2; p, p, \omega, \omega, s_3))} \\ &= \frac{\|B_m(f, h)\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega}^{p, p})_{s_3}}}{\|f\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \leq 1, \|h\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}} \leq 1} \leq \frac{\|f\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \|h\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}}}{\|f\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \|h\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}}} \\ &< CC_1^2 |\alpha|^{2N_1} \|\mu\|_v. \end{aligned}$$

Finally assume that $|\alpha| \leq 1$, $|\beta| > 1$. Then

$$(2.22) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega(\alpha y) \omega(\beta y) d|\mu|(y) < \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} C_1 C_2 (1 + |y|^2)^{N_1} (|\beta|^2 + |\beta|^2 |y|^2)^{N_1} d|\mu|(y) \\ &= C_1^2 |\beta|^{2N_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v(y) d|\mu|(y) = C_1^2 |\beta|^{2N_1} \|\mu\|_v. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by (2.14) and (2.22), we have

$$(2.23) \quad \|B_m(f, h)\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega}^{p, p})_{s_3}} < CC_1^2 |\beta|^{2N_1} \|f\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \|h\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}} \|\mu\|_v.$$

Hence, we obtain $m \in BM[(D(p_1, q_1, \omega, \omega_1, s_1; p_2, q_2, \omega, \omega_2, s_2; p, p, \omega, \omega, s_3))]$ and by (2.23)

$$\begin{aligned} & \|m\|_{(D(p_1, q_1, \omega, \omega_1, s_1; p_2, q_2, \omega, \omega_2, s_2; p, p, \omega, \omega, s_3))} \\ &= \sup_{\|f\|_{(D_{\omega_1, \omega_1}^{p_1, q_1})_s} \leq 1, \|h\|_{(D_{\omega_2, \omega_2}^{p_2, q_2})_s} \leq 1} \frac{\|B_m(f, h)\|_{(D_{\omega, \omega}^{p, p})_{s_3}}}{\|f\|_{(D_{\omega_1, \omega_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \|h\|_{(D_{\omega_2, \omega_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}}} \\ &< CC_1^2 |\beta|^{2N_2} \|\mu\|_{\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.5. *Let $m \in BM[D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i)]$. Assume that $\nu_1 \leq \omega_1$ and $\nu_2 \leq \omega_2$. Then $M_{(\xi_0, \eta_0)} m \in BM[D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i)]$ for each $(\xi_0, \eta_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and*

$$\|M_{(\xi_0, \eta_0)} m\|_{(D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i))} \leq \omega_1(-\xi_0) \omega_2(-\eta_0) \|m\|_{(D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i))}.$$

Proof. For any $f, h \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we write

$$(2.24) \quad B_{M_{(\xi_0, \eta_0)} m}(f, h)(x) = B_m(T_{-\xi_0} f, T_{-\eta_0} h)(x)$$

by Theorem 2.4 in [12]. Also, the inequalities

$$\|T_{-\xi_0} f\|_{(D_{\omega_1, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \leq \left\{ \omega_1(-\xi_0) \|f\|_{p_1, \omega_1} + \nu_1(-\xi_0) \|W_g f\|_{q_1, \nu_1} \right\}$$

and

$$\|T_{-\eta_0} h\|_{(D_{\omega_2, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}} \leq \left\{ \omega_2(-\eta_0) \|h\|_{p_2, \omega_2} + \nu_2(-\eta_0) \|W_g h\|_{q_2, \nu_2} \right\}$$

are satisfied [11]. Since $m \in BM[D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i)]$ and by (2.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| B_{M_{(\xi_0, \eta_0)} m}(f, h) \right\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_3}^{p_3, q_3})_{s_3}} = \|B_m(T_{-\xi_0} f, T_{-\eta_0} h)\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_3}^{p_3, q_3})_{s_3}} \\ & \leq \|B_m\| \|T_{-\xi_0} f\|_{(D_{\omega_1, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \|T_{-\eta_0} h\|_{(D_{\omega_2, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}} \\ & \leq \|B_m\| \left\{ \omega_1(-\xi_0) \|f\|_{p_1, \omega_1} + \nu_1(-\xi_0) \|W_g f\|_{q_1, \nu_1} \right\} \left\{ \omega_2(-\eta_0) \|h\|_{p_2, \omega_2} + \nu_2(-\eta_0) \|W_g h\|_{q_2, \nu_2} \right\} \\ & \leq \|B_m\| \left\{ \omega_1(-\xi_0) \|f\|_{p_1, \omega_1} + \omega_1(-\xi_0) \|W_g f\|_{q_1, \nu_1} \right\} \left\{ \omega_2(-\eta_0) \|h\|_{p_2, \omega_2} + \omega_2(-\eta_0) \|W_g h\|_{q_2, \nu_2} \right\} \\ (2.25) \quad & = \omega_1(-\xi_0) \omega_2(-\eta_0) \|B_m\| \|f\|_{(D_{\omega_1, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \|h\|_{(D_{\omega_2, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}} \end{aligned}$$

and hence $M_{(\xi_0, \eta_0)} m \in BM[D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i)]$. So by (2.25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|M_{(\xi_0, \eta_0)} m\|_{(D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i))} \\ &= \sup_{\|f\|_{(D_{\omega_1, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \leq 1, \|h\|_{(D_{\omega_2, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}} \leq 1} \frac{\|B_{M_{(\xi_0, \eta_0)} m}(f, h)\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_3}^{p_3, q_3})_{s_3}}}{\|f\|_{(D_{\omega_1, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \|h\|_{(D_{\omega_2, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}}} \\ &\leq \omega_1(-\xi_0) \omega_2(-\eta_0) \|B_m\| = \omega_1(-\xi_0) \omega_2(-\eta_0) \|m\|_{(D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i))}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.6. *If ω and ν are polynomial type weight functions such that $\omega(x) = C_1(1 + |x|^2)^{N_1}$, $\nu(x) = C_2(1 + |x|^2)^{N_2}$ and $f \in (D_{\omega, \nu}^{p, q})_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $D_y^p f \in (D_{\omega, \nu}^{p, q})_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover*

$$\|D_y^p f\|_{(D_{\omega, \nu}^{p, q})_s} \leq \|f\|_{(D_{\omega, \nu}^{p, q})_s}, \quad \text{if } y \leq 1,$$

$$\|D_y^p f\|_{(D_{\omega, \nu}^{p, q})_s} < C \|f\|_{(D_{\omega, \nu}^{p, q})_s}, \quad \text{if } y > 1$$

for some $C > 0$.

Proof. Take any $f \in (D_{\omega, \nu}^{p, q})_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and fixed $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we set $\frac{t}{y} = u$ ($0 < y < \infty$)

$$\begin{aligned} W_g(D_y^p f)(x, s) &= |s|^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_y^p f(t) g\left(\frac{t-x}{s}\right) dt = |s|^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y|^{-\frac{n}{p}} f\left(\frac{t}{y}\right) g\left(\frac{t-x}{s}\right) dt \\ &= |y|^{-\frac{n}{p}} |s|^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(u) g\left(\frac{u \cdot y - x}{s}\right) y^n du = y^n |y|^{-\frac{n}{p}} |s|^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(u) g\left(\frac{u - \frac{x}{y}}{\frac{s}{y}}\right) du \\ &= y^{-\frac{n}{2}+n} |y|^{-\frac{n}{p}} \left|\frac{s}{y}\right|^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(u) g\left(\frac{u - \frac{x}{y}}{\frac{s}{y}}\right) y^n du = y^{\frac{n}{2}} |y|^{-\frac{n}{p}} W_g(f)\left(\frac{x}{y}, \frac{s}{y}\right) \\ (2.26) \quad &= y^{\frac{n}{2}} D_y^p W_g(f)(x, s). \end{aligned}$$

Let $y \leq 1$. Also we know that the inequality

$$(2.27) \quad \|D_y^p f\|_{p, \omega} \leq \|f\|_{p, \omega} \quad [12].$$

Since $f \in (D_{\omega, \nu}^{p, q})_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $W_g(f)(\cdot, s) \in L_v^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. So by using (2.26) and (2.27)

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_g(D_y^p f)\|_{q, \nu} &= \left\| y^{\frac{n}{2}} D_y^p W_g(f) \right\|_{q, \nu} \\ (2.28) \quad &= y^{\frac{n}{2}} \|D_y^p(W_g f)\|_{q, \nu} \leq \|W_g f\|_{q, \nu} \end{aligned}$$

is achieved. So using (2.27) and (2.28), we have

$$\|D_y^p f\|_{(D_{\omega, \nu}^{p, q})_s} = \|D_y^p f\|_{p, \omega} + \|W_g(D_y^p f)\|_{q, \nu} \leq \|f\|_{p, \omega} + \|W_g f\|_{q, \nu} = \|f\|_{(D_{\omega, \nu}^{p, q})_s}.$$

Let $y > 1$. Again we know that the inequality

$$(2.29) \quad \|D_y^p f\|_{p, \omega} < y^{N_1} \|f\|_{p, \omega} \quad [12],$$

where $\omega(x) = C_1(1 + |x|^2)^{N_1}$. By using (2.26) and (2.29), we write

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_g(D_y^p f)\|_{q, \nu} &= \left\| y^{\frac{n}{2}} D_y^p W_g(f) \right\|_{q, \nu} \\ (2.30) \quad &= y^{\frac{n}{2}} \|D_y^p(W_g f)\|_{q, \nu} < y^{\frac{n}{2}+N_2} \|W_g f\|_{q, \nu}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu(x) = C_2(1 + |x|^2)^{N_2}$. Hence combining (2.29) and (2.30), we obtain

$$\|D_y^p f\|_{(D_{\omega, \nu}^{p, q})_s} = \|D_y^p f\|_{p, \omega} + \|W_g(D_y^p f)\|_{q, \nu} < y^{N_1} \|f\|_{p, \omega} + y^{\frac{n}{2}+N_2} \|W_g f\|_{q, \nu}$$

$$\leq C \|f\|_{(D_{\omega, \nu}^{p, q})_s}$$

where $C = \max\{y^{N_1}, y^{\frac{n}{2}+N_2}\}$ □

Theorem 2.7. *Let ω_i, ν_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) be polynomial weight functions. If $\frac{2}{q} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{p_3}$, $0 < y < \infty$ and $m \in BM[D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s)]$ then $D_y^q m \in BM[D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s)]$. Moreover then*

$$\|D_y^q m\|_{(D_{(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s)})} \leq C \|m\|_{(D_{(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s)})}$$

for some $C > 0$.

Proof. Take any $f, h \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It's known that the equality

$$(2.31) \quad B_{D_y^q m}(f, h)(y) = D_{y^{-1}}^{p_3} B_m(D_y^{p_1} f, D_y^{p_2} h)(y) \quad [12].$$

Assume that $y \leq 1$. By from Lemma 2.3, assumption $m \in BM[D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s)]$ and the equality (2.31), we write

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_{D_y^q m}(f, h)\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_3}^{p_3, q_3})_s} &= \|D_{y^{-1}}^{p_3} B_m(D_y^{p_1} f, D_y^{p_2} h)\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_3}^{p_3, q_3})_s} \\ &\leq C \|B_m(D_y^{p_1} f, D_y^{p_2} h)\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_3}^{p_3, q_3})_s} \\ &\leq C \|m\|_{(D_{(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s)})} \|D_y^{p_1} f\|_{(D_{\omega_1, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_s} \|D_y^{p_2} h\|_{(D_{\omega_2, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_s} \\ &\leq C \|m\|_{(D_{(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s)})} \|f\|_{(D_{\omega_1, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_s} \|h\|_{(D_{\omega_2, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_s}. \end{aligned}$$

So we obtain

$$\|D_y^q m\|_{(D_{(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s)})} \leq C \|m\|_{(D_{(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s)})}$$

Now suppose that $y > 1$. Again from Lemma 2.3, assumption $m \in BM[D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s)]$ and the equality (2.31), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_{D_y^q m}(f, h)\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_3}^{p_3, q_3})_s} &= \|D_{y^{-1}}^{p_3} B_m(D_y^{p_1} f, D_y^{p_2} h)\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_3}^{p_3, q_3})_s} \\ &< \|B_m(D_y^{p_1} f, D_y^{p_2} h)\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_3}^{p_3, q_3})_s} \leq \|m\|_{(D_{(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s)})} \|D_y^{p_1} f\|_{(D_{\omega_1, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_s} \|D_y^{p_2} h\|_{(D_{\omega_2, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_s} \\ &< C \|m\|_{(D_{(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s)})} \|f\|_{(D_{\omega_1, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_s} \|h\|_{(D_{\omega_2, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_s}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we find that

$$\|D_y^q m\|_{(D_{(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s)})} < C \|m\|_{(D_{(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s)})}$$

□

Theorem 2.8. *Let ω_i, ν_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) be polynomial type weight functions, $\frac{2}{q} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{p_3}$ and $m(y\xi, y\eta) = m(\xi, \eta)$, $0 < y < \infty$. Then $m \in BM[D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s)]$ if and only if $D_y^q m \in BM[D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s)]$*

Proof. Take any $f, h \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Assume that $y \neq 1$. By from [3], the equality

$$B_m(f, h) = y^{-n\left(\frac{1}{p_3} - \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2}\right)} B_{D_y^q m}(f, h)$$

written. Suppose that $m \in BM[D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s)]$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_{D_y^q m}(f, h)\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_3}^{p_3, q_3})_s} &= y^{n\left(\frac{1}{p_3} - \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2}\right)} \|B_m(f, h)\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_3}^{p_3, q_3})_s} \\ &\leq y^{n\left(\frac{1}{p_3} - \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2}\right)} \|m\|_{(D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s))} \|f\|_{(D_{\omega_1, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_s} \|h\|_{(D_{\omega_2, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_s}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have $D_y^q m \in BM[D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s)]$. Now assume that $D_y^q m \in BM[D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s)]$. So similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_m(f, h)\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_3}^{p_3, q_3})_s} &= y^{-n\left(\frac{1}{p_3} - \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2}\right)} \|B_{D_y^q m}(f, h)\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_3}^{p_3, q_3})_s} \\ &\leq y^{-n\left(\frac{1}{p_3} - \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2}\right)} \|D_y^q m\|_{(D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s))} \|f\|_{(D_{\omega_1, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_s} \|h\|_{(D_{\omega_2, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_s}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain $m \in BM[D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s)]$. \square

Theorem 2.9. *Let $m \in BM[D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i)]$. Assume that $\nu_1 \leq \omega_1$ and $\nu_2 \leq \omega_2$. If $\Phi \in L_\omega^1(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ such that $\omega(u, v) = \omega_3(u) \nu_3(v)$, then $\hat{\Phi}m \in BM[D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i)]$ and*

$$\|\hat{\Phi}m\|_{(D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i))} \leq \|\Phi\|_{1, \omega} \|m\|_{(D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i))}.$$

Proof. Let $\Phi \in L_\omega^1(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. Take any $f, h \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is known by Proposition 2.5 in [3].

$$B_{\hat{\Phi}m}(f, h)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(u, v) B_{M_{(-u, -v)}m}(f, h)(x) dudv.$$

Since $m \in BM[D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i)]$ and by Theorem 2.3, we have $M_{(-u, -v)}m \in BM[D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i)]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_{(-u, -v)}m\|_{(D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i))} &\leq \omega_1(u) \omega_2(v) \|m\|_{(D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i))}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_{\hat{\Phi}m}(f, h)\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_3}^{p_3, q_3})_{s_3}} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|\Phi(u, v) B_{M_{(-u, -v)}m}(f, h)\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_3}^{p_3, q_3})_{s_3}} dudv \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Phi(u, v)| \|M_{(-u, -v)}m\| \|f\|_{(D_{\omega_1, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \|h\|_{(D_{\omega_2, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}} dudv \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Phi(u, v)| \omega_3(u) \nu_3(v) \|m\|_{(D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i))} \|f\|_{(D_{\omega_1, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \|h\|_{(D_{\omega_2, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}} dudv \\ (2.32) \quad &= \|m\|_{(D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i))} \|f\|_{(D_{\omega_1, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_{s_1}} \|h\|_{(D_{\omega_2, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_{s_2}} \|\Phi\|_{1, \omega}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus from (2.32), we obtain $\hat{\Phi}m \in BM[D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i)]$ and

$$\left\| \hat{\Phi}m \right\|_{(D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i))} \leq \|\Phi\|_{1, \omega} \|m\|_{(D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s_i))}.$$

□

Theorem 2.10. *Let ω_i, ν_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) be polynomial type weight functions and let $m \in BM[D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s)]$. If $\Psi \in L^1\left(\mathbb{R}^+, t^{-\frac{2n}{q}} dt\right)$ such that $\frac{2}{q} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{p_3}$ then*

$$m_\Psi(\xi, \eta) = \int_0^\infty m(t\xi, t\eta) \Psi(t) dt \in BM[D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s)].$$

Moreover,

$$\|m_\Psi\|_{(D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s))} < C \|\Psi\|_{L^1\left(\mathbb{R}^+, t^{-\frac{2n}{q}} dt\right)} \|m\|_{(D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s))}$$

for some $C > 0$.

Proof. Take any $f, h \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It's known that

$$B_{m_\Psi}(f, h)(x) = \int_0^\infty B_{D_{t^{-1}}^q m}(f, h) \Psi(t) t^{-\frac{2n}{q}} dt \quad [12].$$

Since $m \in BM[D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s)]$ and by Theorem 2.4, we observe that $D_{t^{-1}}^q m \in BM[(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s)]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_{m_\Psi}(f, h)(x)\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_3}^{p_3, q_3})_s} &\leq \int_0^\infty \|B_{D_{t^{-1}}^q m}(f, h)\|_{(D_{\omega_3, \nu_3}^{p_3, q_3})_s} |\Psi(t)| t^{-\frac{2n}{q}} dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \|D_{t^{-1}}^q m\|_{(D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s))} \|f\|_{(D_{\omega_1, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_s} \|h\|_{(D_{\omega_2, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_s} |\Psi(t)| t^{-\frac{2n}{q}} dt \\ &\leq C \|m\|_{(D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s))} \|f\|_{(D_{\omega_1, \nu_1}^{p_1, q_1})_s} \|h\|_{(D_{\omega_2, \nu_2}^{p_2, q_2})_s} \|\Psi\|_{L^1\left(\mathbb{R}^+, t^{-\frac{2n}{q}} dt\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $m_\Psi \in BM[D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s)]$ and

$$\|m_\Psi\|_{(D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s))} < C \|\Psi\|_{L^1\left(\mathbb{R}^+, t^{-\frac{2n}{q}} dt\right)} \|m\|_{(D(p_i, q_i, \omega_i, \nu_i, s))}.$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Blasco, Bilinear multipliers and transference, *Int. J. Math. Sci.*, 4(2005), 545-554.
- [2] O. Blasco, F. Villarroya, Transference of bilinear multipliers on Lorentz spaces, *Illinois J. Math.* 47(4) (2005), 1327-1343.
- [3] O. Blasco, Notes on the spaces of bilinear multipliers, *Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina*, 50(2) (2009), 23-37.
- [4] R. R. Coifman and Y. Meyer, Commutateurs d'integrales singulieres et operateurs multilineaires, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 28 (1978), 3, xi, 177-202.
- [5] I. Daubechies, *Ten Lectures on Wavelets*, CBMS-NSF, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1992.
- [6] C. Gasquet, P. Witomski, *Fourier Analysis and Applications*, Springer, New York, 1999.

- [7] J. Gilbert and A. Nahmod, Boundedness of bilinear operators with non-smooth symbols, *Math. Res. Lett.*, 7 (2000), 767-778.
- [8] J. Gilbert and A. Nahmod, Bilinear operators with non-smooths, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 7 (2001), 437-469.
- [9] L. Grafakos, N. Kalton, Some remarks on multilinear maps and interpolation, *Math. Annalen*, 319 (2001), 151-180.
- [10] C. E. Kening, E. M. Stein, Multilinear estimates and fractional integration, *Math. Res. Lett.*, 6 (1999), 1-15.
- [11] Ö. Kulak, A. T. Gürkanlı, On function spaces with wavelet transform in $L^p_\omega(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_+)$, *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 40(2) (2011), 163-177.
- [12] Ö. Kulak, A. T. Gürkanlı, Bilinear multipliers of weighted Lebesgue spaces and variable exponent Lebesgue spaces, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 2013:259 (2013), 1-21.
- [13] Ö. Kulak, A. T. Gürkanlı, Bilinear multipliers of weighted Wiener amalgam spaces and variable exponent Wiener amalgam spaces, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 2014:476 (2014), 1-23.
- [14] M. T. Lacey and C. Thiele, L^p estimates on the bilinear Hilbert transform for $2 < p < \infty$, *Ann. of Math.*, 146(2) (1997), 3, 693-724.
- [15] M. T. Lacey, On the bilinear Hilbert transform, *Doc. Math.*, no. Extra Vol. II (1998), 647-656.
- [16] M. T. Lacey and C. Thiele, On Calderon's conjecture, *Ann. Math.*, 149 (2) (1999), 475-496.
- [17] Y. Meyer and R. R. Coifman, *Ondelettes et Operateurs. III. Operateurs Multilineaires*, Actualites Mathematiques, Hermann, Paris, 1991.
- [18] W. Rudin, *Fourier Analysis on Groups*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
- [19] A. Theolis, *Computational Signal Processing with Wavelet*, Birkhauser, Boston, 1998.

DEPARTMENT OF BANKING AND FINANCE, GÖRELE APPLIED SCIENCES ACADEMY,
GİRESUN UNIVERSITY, GÖRELE, GİRESUN, TURKEY
E-mail address: `oznur.kulak@giresun.edu.tr`